Period 16 High PT Lepton Efficiencies and SF -preblessing

Simona Rolli

Tufts

Electrons ID: Samples

Dataset bhelmk: Runs 256840-258787
MC sample : zektei (Feb 2008)
GRL :GRL for P16 v22

P16 SAM dataset
MC dataset zektei (P14 and onward) on SAM

Electron ID: Cuts

CEM Selection Cuts

Variable	Tight (CEM)
Region	== CEM
Fiducial	Fiducial == 1
E_T	$\geq$ 20 GeV
Track Z_0	$\leq$ 60 cm
Track p_T	$\geq$ 10 GeV/c
COT Ax. Seg.	$\geq$ 3
COT St. Seg.	$\geq$ 2
Conversion	$\neq$ 1
Had/em	$\leq (0.055 + (0.00045 \times E))$
Isolation	$\leq$ 0.1
LshrTrk	$\leq$ 0.2
E/P	$\leq$ 2.0 unless $p_T \geq$ 50GeV/c
CES ΔZ	$\leq$ 3.0 cm
Signed CES ΔX	$-3.0 \leq q \times \Delta X \leq 1.5$
CES StripChi2	$\leq$ 10.0

$\mathbb{Z}$ candidates are used to calculate the efficiencies

Plug Selection Cuts

Variable	PHX	PEM
E_T	$\geq$ 20GeV	$\geq$ 20GeV
Pes2dEta	$1.2 \leq \lvert\eta\rvert \leq 2.8$	$1.2 \leq \lvert\eta\rvert \leq 2.8$
Had/Em	$\leq$ 0.05	$\leq$ 0.05
Pem3x3FitTow	$\neq$ 0	$\neq$ 0
Pem3x3Chisq	$\leq$ 10	$\leq$ 10
Pes5by9U	$\geq$ 0.65	$\geq$ 0.65
Pes5by9V	$\geq$ 0.65	$\geq$ 0.65
Isolation	$\leq$ 0.1	$\leq$ 0.1
ΔR	$\leq$ 3.0 cm	$\leq$ 3.0 cm
PhxMatch	TRUE	-
N_{hits}^{Si}	$\geq$ 3	-
$\lvert z_0^{PHX}\rvert$	$\leq$ 60 cm	-

We calculate efficiencies using a set of very loose, or "probe" electrons as the denominator. For each tight electron in the event which passes the trigger, we look for probe electrons which have the opposite sign and which, paired with the tight electron, have an invariant mass in the Z window 76 GeV/c^2 $< M <$ 106 GeV/c^2. (Central-Central)

We calculate Phoenix electron efficiencies using central-plug Z candidates in the mass window 81 GeV/c^2 $< M <$ 101 GeV/c^2. Due to the non-negligible, and η-dependent, Phoenix charge fake rate, there is no opposite-sign requirement.

Period 16 vs P15

	Period 15		Period 16	
	bhelmk(P15)	zektei	bhelmk(P16)	zektei
CEM Eff	0.792+\-0.005	0.795 +\-0.001	0.790+\-0.006	0.795 +\-0.001
CEM SF	0.996 +\-0.006	N/A	0.994 +\-0.007	N/A
nisoCEM Eff	0.819 +\-0.005	0.825 +\-0.001	0.822 +\-0.005	0.825 +\-0.001
nisoCEM SF	0.993 +\-0.006	N/A	0.996 +\-0.007	N/A
LooseCEM Eff	0.917 +/- 0.003	0.907 +\-0.001	0.914 +/- 0.004	0.907 +\-0.001
LooseCEM SF	1.011 +\-0.004	N/A	1.008 +\-0.005	N/A
nisolooseCEM Eff	0.946+\-0.003	0.943 +\-0.001	0.950+\-0.004	0.943 +\-0.001
nisoloostCEM SF	1.004 +\-0.003	N/A	1.008 +\-0.003	N/A

	PHX		PHX	
Eff |Eta| < 2.0	0.711+\-0.007	0.744+\-0.002	0.702+\-0.009	0.744+\-0.002
SF |Eta| < 2.0	0.956+\-0.010	NA	0.944+\-0.013	NA
	PHX		PHX	
Eff |Eta| < 2.8	0.617+\-0.006	0.645+\-0.002	0.611+\-0.008	0.645+\-0.002
SF |Eta| < 2.8	0.957+\-0.010	NA	0.947+\-0.013	NA

CEM-PEM

	P12		P14	
	PEM		PEM	
Eff |Eta| < 2.0	0.8001 +\- 0.0065	0.8609 +\- 0.0012	0.804+\-0.014	0.847+\-0.002
SF |Eta| < 2.0	0.9294+\- 0.0077	NA	0.949+\-0.016	NA

	PEM		PEM	
Eff |Eta| < 2.8	0.7632 +\- 0.0058	0.8297 +\- 0.011	0.776+\-0.012	0.810+\-0.001
SF |Eta| < 2.8	0.9198 +\- 0.007	NA	0.958+\-0.015	NA

	P15		P16	
	PEM		PEM	
Eff |Eta| < 2.0	0.802+\-0.006	0.847+\-0.002	0.793+\-0.008	0.847+\-0.002
SF |Eta| < 2.0	0.947+\-0.008	NA	0.937+\-0.010	NA

	PEM		PEM	
Eff |Eta| < 2.8	0.765+\-0.006	0.810+\-0.001	0.756+\-0.007	0.810+\-0.001
SF |Eta| < 2.8	0.944+\-0.007	NA	0.934+\-0.009	NA

PEM n-1 Eff

Detailed PHX info (eta < 2.0): P16

Hadem N-1 efficiency = 1666/1677 = 0.993441 +/- 0.00197122
Isol N-1 efficiency = 1666/1735 = 0.960231 +/- 0.00469151
DeltaR N-1 efficiency = 1666/1688 = 0.986967 +/- 0.00276052
Pem3x3FitTow N-1 efficiency = 1666/1666 = 1 +/- 0
Pem3x3Chisq N-1 efficiency = 1666/1859 = 0.896181 +/- 0.00707452
Pes2d5by9U N-1 efficiency = 1666/1671 = 0.997008 +/- 0.00133616
Pes2d5by9V N-1 efficiency = 1666/1674 = 0.995221 +/- 0.00168558

Detailed PHX info (eta < 2.0): P15

Hadem N-1 efficiency = 2808/2832 = 0.991525 +/- 0.00172252
Isol N-1 efficiency = 2808/2920 = 0.961644 +/- 0.00355413
DeltaR N-1 efficiency = 2808/2850 = 0.985263 +/- 0.00225713
Pem3x3FitTow N-1 efficiency = 2808/2808 = 1 +/- 0
Pem3x3Chisq N-1 efficiency = 2808/3114 = 0.901734 +/- 0.00533435
Pes2d5by9U N-1 efficiency = 2808/2825 = 0.993982 +/- 0.00145511
Pes2d5by9V N-1 efficiency = 2808/2826 = 0.993631 +/- 0.0014965

PEM N-1 Eff

Detailed PHX info (eta < 2.8): P16

Hadem N-1 efficiency = 2088/2122 = 0.983977 +/- 0.00272575
Isol N-1 efficiency = 2088/2163 = 0.965326 +/- 0.00393379
DeltaR N-1 efficiency = 2088/2110 = 0.989573 +/- 0.00221133
Pem3x3FitTow N-1 efficiency = 2088/2088 = 1 +/- 0
Pem3x3Chisq N-1 efficiency = 2088/2374 = 0.879528 +/- 0.00668078
Pes2d5by9U N-1 efficiency = 2088/2094 = 0.997135 +/- 0.00116809
Pes2d5by9V N-1 efficiency = 2088/2096 = 0.996183 +/- 0.00134686

Detailed PHX info (eta < 2.8): P15

Hadem N-1 efficiency = 3537/3599 = 0.982773 +/- 0.00216891
Isol N-1 efficiency = 3537/3663 = 0.965602 +/- 0.00301125
DeltaR N-1 efficiency = 3537/3580 = 0.987989 +/- 0.00182065
Pem3x3FitTow N-1 efficiency = 3537/3537 = 1 +/- 0
Pem3x3Chisq N-1 efficiency = 3537/4002 = 0.883808 +/- 0.00506557
Pes2d5by9U N-1 efficiency = 3537/3554 = 0.995217 +/- 0.00115735
Pes2d5by9V N-1 efficiency = 3537/3555 = 0.994937 +/- 0.0011904

PEM numbers

<u>From Daryl Hare (expert)</u> :

As for the number, when I ran the code, I found a

PEM efficiency = 0.794 +/- 0.008 (simona: 0.793 +\-0.008).

I don't really know if you can say this is a different number than

0.802 +/- 0.006 (simona 0.802 +\- 0.006)

The isolation N-1 efficiency drops slightly, but I think we are mainly looking at statistical fluctuations. When you look at the raw efficiency, period 15 is 0.788 +/- 0.006, and period 16 is 0.783 +/- 0.008. These numbers look even more similar.

The corrected numbers (with background subtraction) use information from the MC. We scale the MC to the size of the data, so while it should be ok to use run independent MC, I have worried that it might cause small effects like this.

EleID Plots


CEM-CEM Mass
CEM-PHX Mass (eta < 2.0)
CEM-PHX Mass (eta < 2.8)
MC
DATA
Mass (GeV)


CEM-CEM Mass
CEM-PHX Mass (eta < 2.0)
CEM-PHX Mass (eta < 2.8)
MC
DATA
Mass (GeV)
P15

EleID Plots 1

CEM Efficiencies





EleID Plots 2

PEM Efficiencies





EleID Plots 3

PHX Efficiencies





PHX Fake Charge Rate



$\eta < 2$
Phoenix charge fake rate =
0.0984394 +/- 0.00729868

$\eta < 2.8$
Phoenix charge fake rate =
0.131705 +/- 0.00740064

Electron Trigger: Tracking

1 electron with $E_T > 25GeV$
$\not{E}_T > 25GeV$
reject cosmic events

Table: Offline W selection.

Electron Variables	Current baseline cuts
E_T	> 20 GeV
p_T	> 10 GeV/c
N_{SL}^{axial} with 5 hits	≥ 3
N_{SL}^{stereo} with 5 hits	≥ 2
Fiducial	1
Had/Em	$< 0.055 + 0.00045E$
L_{shr}	< 0.2
E/p	< 2 (for $p_T < 50GeV$)
$\lvert Z_{vertex} \rvert$	< 60 cm
$\lvert \Delta X \rvert$	$-3.0 < Q_{trk}\Delta X < 1.5$ cm
$\lvert \Delta Z \rvert$	< 3 cm
χ^2_{strip}	< 10
Conversion	$\neq 1$ (not)

Table: Offline baseline cuts for central electrons.

L1 Tracking Efficiency

$$\epsilon(\text{L1_XFT_PT8}) = \frac{\text{numW \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8}}{\text{numW}}$$

numW means the number of *W* candidates that passed the W-NOTRACK path.

L2 Tracking Efficiency

At L2, there is no additional tracking done except for receiving the XFT information from L1.

$$\epsilon(\text{L2_XFT_PT8}) = \frac{\text{numW \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8 \& passed L2_CEM16_PT8}}{\text{numW \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8}}$$

L3 Tracking Efficiency

$$\epsilon(\text{L3_PT9}) = \frac{\text{numW \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8 \& L2_CEM16_PT8 \& L3_CEM18_PT9}}{\text{numW \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8 \& L2_CEM16_PT8}}$$

Electron Trigger: Calorimeter

L2 Calorimeter Efficiency

- Require at least 1 electron satisfying the baseline cuts and $E_T >$ 18GeV.
- Use ELECTRON_CENTRAL_18_NO_L2 path.
- Efficiency reaches 100% at about 25 GeV.

$$\epsilon(\text{L2_CEM16}) = \frac{\text{numEl \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8 \& L2_PS \& L2_CEM16}}{\text{numEl \& passed L1_CEM8_PT8 \& L2_PS}}$$

L3 Calorimeter Efficiency

- Use the calibration dataset(blpc).
- Use ELECTRON_CENTRAL_8_NO_L2 path.
- Efficiency reaches 100% at about 20 GeV.

$$\epsilon(\text{L3_CEM18}) = \frac{\text{numEl \& passed EL_CENT_8_NO_L2 \& L2_CEM16 \& L3_CEM18}}{\text{numEl \& passed EL_CENT_8_NO_L2 \& L2_CEM16}}$$

Electron Trigger: Results

Analyzed all data

NofflW	61955
NcemL1	60000
NcemL2	59966
NcemL3	59532

Trigger	Period 9	Period 10A	Period 10B	Period 11	Period 12
L1_XFT_PT8	0.9651(6)	0.9673(7)	0.9650(29)	0.9688(4)	0.96857 ± 0.003
L2_XFT_PT8	0.9992(1)	0.9993(1)	0.9992(5)	0.9988(4)	0.9990 ± 0.003
L3 tracking	0.9954(2)	0.9932(3)	0.9968(9)	0.9935(4)	0.9940 ± 0.003
Total Tracking	0.9598(7)	0.9600(8)	0.9611(31)	0.9614(4)	0.9600 ± 0.003

Trigger	Period 13	Period 14	Period 15	Period 16
L1_XFT_PT8	0.963922 ± 0.003	0.964755 ± 0.03	0.9695 ± 0.005	0.9684 ± 0.005
L2_XFT_PT8	0.998003 ± 0.003	0.999569 ± 0.03	0.9994 ± 0.005	0.9994 ± 0.005
L3 tracking	0.994608 ± 0.003	0.996055 ± 0.03	0.9940 ± 0.005	0.9927 ± 0.005
Total Tracking	0.95681 ± 0.003	0.960535 ± 0.03	0.9633 ± 0.005	0.9609 ± 0.005

Electron Trigger : Results







L2_CEM16 calorimeter efficiency



$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta(E_T - \alpha)}}.$$

New clustering
Turn on at lower E_T



Muons

Dataset bhmumk: Runs 256840-258787
MC sample : zektim (Feb 2008)
GRL : GRL for P16 v22

Data: P16 Data on SAM
MC: dataset zektim on SAM

Muon ID Efficiency: ID Cuts

For all events:

No cosmic tag.

For all muon types (including stubless muons):

P_T	$>$	20 GeV/c
E_{EM}	$<$	2+max(0, $(p-100)\cdot 0.0115$) GeV
E_{HAD}	$<$	6+max(0, $(p-100)\cdot 0.028$) GeV
$E_T^{isol.}/P_T$	$<$	0.1
Number of axial SL with $\geq$ 5 hits	$\geq$	3
Number of stereo SL with $\geq$ 5 hits	$\geq$	2
$\lvert z_0 \rvert$	$<$	60 cm
Tracks w/ no silicon hits: $\lvert d_0 \rvert$	$<$	0.2 cm
Tracks w/ silicon hits: $\lvert d_0 \rvert$	$<$	0.02 cm

Additionally for tight CMUP muons:

$\lvert \Delta x_{CMU} \rvert$	$<$	7 cm
$\lvert \Delta x_{CMP} \rvert$	$<$	5 cm
No bluebeam muons, run	$<$	154449

Additionally for tight CMX muons:

$\lvert \Delta x_{CMX} \rvert$	$<$	6 cm
Run	$>$	150144
No miniskirt or keystone muons, run	$<$	190697
No muons in wedge 14 west, runs	$\geq$	190697 and $\leq$ 209760

Table 1: Standard muon ID cuts for 6.1.4 data MC.

Fiducial cuts

For CMUP muons:

Fiducial distance from CMU:		
x-fid	$<$	0 cm
z-fid	$<$	0 cm
Fiducial distance from CMP:		
x-fid	$<$	0 cm
z-fid	$<$	-3 cm
No muons from bluebeam region for run $<$ 154449		

For CMX muons:

ρ_{COT}	$>$	140 cm
Fiducial distance from CMX:		
x-fid	$<$	0 cm
z-fid	$<$	-3 cm
No muons from keystone region for run $<$ 190697		
No muons from miniskirt region for run $<$ 190697		
No muons wedge 14 west for runs $\geq$ 190697 and $\leq$ 209760		

Table 2: Suggested muon fiducial cuts for tight muons in release 6.1.4.

Arches:
$0^\circ \leq \phi \leq 75^\circ$ or $105^\circ \leq \phi \leq 225^\circ$ or $315^\circ \leq \phi < 360^\circ$
Additionally, for runs 190697 - 209760, remove:
$210^\circ < \phi \leq 225^\circ$ if $\eta < 0$
Keystone:
$75^\circ < \phi < 105^\circ$ and $\eta < 0$
Miniskirt:
$225^\circ < \phi < 315^\circ$

Table 3: Cuts on ϕ for distinguishing parts of the CMX detector when determining reconstruction efficiencies.

ID Efficiency Calculation

- A first leg must be a CMUP or CMX muon passing all the cuts given in Tables 1 and 2.
- A second leg must be a muon with a CMUP or CMX stub and satisfy:
  - $P_T > 20$ GeV.
  - fiducial requirements in Table 2.
- The event must not have a cosmic tag.
- The z_0 of the two legs must pass: $|z_0^{(1)} - z_0^{(2)}| < 4$ cm.
- The invariant mass of the two tracks must pass: $81 < m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ GeV/$c^2 < 101$.

We then test the second leg to determine if it passes each of the muon ID cuts given in Table 1.

CMUP ID Efficiency

P15

Cut	Count	Efficiency (%)
No cut	2326	
E_{EM} cut	2261	$97.21^{+0.33}_{-0.40}$
E_{HAD} cut	2278	$97.94^{+0.28}_{-0.35}$
COT hits cut	2325	$99.96^{+0.03}_{-0.10}$
d_0 cut	2314	$99.48^{+0.14}_{-0.20}$
Isolation cut	2220	$95.44^{+0.43}_{-0.50}$
Δx_{CMU} cut	2311	$99.36^{+0.17}_{-0.22}$
Δx_{CMP} cut	2284	$98.19^{+0.27}_{-0.32}$
All above cuts	2072	$89.08^{+0.62}_{-0.65}$
All cuts excl. isol.	2155	$92.65^{+0.56}_{-0.61}$
Sliding isol. cut	2261	$97.21^{+0.33}_{-0.40}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	2107	$90.58^{+0.58}_{-0.69}$
χ^2 cut	2326	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-0.08}$
All cuts (χ^2)	2072	$89.08^{+0.62}_{-0.65}$
All track cuts	2120	$91.14^{+0.60}_{-0.67}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	2205	$94.80^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$

TABLE I: Dataset bhmumkP15_GRLv21: Muon ID efficiencies for CM

P16

Cut	Count	Efficiency (%)
No cut	1386	
E_{EM} cut	1341	$96.75^{+0.49}_{-0.54}$
E_{HAD} cut	1359	$98.05^{+0.36}_{-0.47}$
COT hits cut	1385	$99.93^{+0.05}_{-0.17}$
d_0 cut	1379	$99.49^{+0.18}_{-0.26}$
Isolation cut	1327	$95.74^{+0.50}_{-0.58}$
Δx_{CMU} cut	1377	$99.35^{+0.22}_{-0.29}$
Δx_{CMP} cut	1368	$98.70^{+0.30}_{-0.39}$
All above cuts	1231	$88.82^{+0.88}_{-0.88}$
All cuts excl. isol.	1283	$92.57^{+0.74}_{-0.82}$
Sliding isol. cut	1349	$97.33^{+0.45}_{-0.53}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	1251	$90.26^{+0.80}_{-0.86}$
χ^2 cut	1386	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-0.13}$
All cuts (χ^2)	1231	$88.82^{+0.88}_{-0.88}$
All track cuts	1256	$90.62^{+0.83}_{-0.83}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	1308	$94.37^{+0.60}_{-0.67}$

TABLE I: Dataset bhmumkP16: Muon ID efficiencies for CMUP

CMUP ID SF

P15

Cut	Scale Factor
No cut	
E_{EM} cut	$1.0099^{+0.003}_{-0.004}$
E_{HAD} cut	$0.9959^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$
COT hits cut	$0.9997^{+0.000}_{-0.001}$
d_0 cut	$0.9977^{+0.001}_{-0.002}$
Isolation cut	$0.9865^{+0.004}_{-0.005}$
Δx_{CMU} cut	$0.9936^{+0.001}_{-0.002}$
Δx_{CMP} cut	$0.9872^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$
All above cuts	$0.9790^{+0.006}_{-0.007}$
All cuts excl. isol.	$0.9869^{+0.006}_{-0.006}$
Sliding isol. cut	$0.9961^{+0.003}_{-0.004}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	$0.9873^{+0.006}_{-0.007}$
χ^2 cut	$1.0000^{+0.000}_{-0.000}$
All cuts (χ^2)	$0.9790^{+0.006}_{-0.007}$
All track cuts	$0.9964^{+0.006}_{-0.007}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	$1.0044^{+0.004}_{-0.005}$

P16

Cut	Scale Factor
No cut	
E_{EM} cut	$1.0052^{+0.0051}_{-0.0056}$
E_{HAD} cut	$0.9971^{+0.0037}_{-0.0048}$
COT hits cut	$0.9994^{+0.0005}_{-0.0017}$
d_0 cut	$0.9978^{+0.0018}_{-0.0027}$
Isolation cut	$0.9896^{+0.0052}_{-0.0060}$
Δx_{CMU} cut	$0.9936^{+0.0022}_{-0.0029}$
Δx_{CMP} cut	$0.9923^{+0.0030}_{-0.0039}$
All above cuts	$0.9761^{+0.0097}_{-0.0097}$
All cuts excl. isol.	$0.9860^{+0.0079}_{-0.0088}$
Sliding isol. cut	$0.9974^{+0.0046}_{-0.0054}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	$0.9838^{+0.0088}_{-0.0094}$
χ^2 cut	$1.0000^{+0.0000}_{-0.0013}$
All cuts (χ^2)	$0.9761^{+0.0097}_{-0.0097}$
All track cuts	$0.9907^{+0.0092}_{-0.0091}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	$0.9999^{+0.0064}_{-0.0071}$

TABLE I: Dataset bhmumkP16_zektimP14: Muon ID Scale Factors for CMUP

CMX ID Efficiencies

P15

Cut	Count	Efficiency (%)
No cut	1521	
E_{EM} cut	1471	$96.71^{+0.48}_{-0.55}$
E_{HAD} cut	1493	$98.16^{+0.35}_{-0.43}$
COT hits cut	1520	$99.93^{+0.06}_{-0.15}$
d_0 cut	1515	$99.61^{+0.16}_{-0.23}$
Isolation cut	1471	$96.71^{+0.48}_{-0.55}$
Δx_{CMX} cut	1516	$99.67^{+0.15}_{-0.22}$
All above cuts	1389	$91.32^{+0.72}_{-0.75}$
All cuts excl. isol.	1435	$94.35^{+0.62}_{-0.64}$
Sliding isol. cut	1484	$97.57^{+0.41}_{-0.47}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	1402	$92.18^{+0.65}_{-0.72}$
χ^2 cut	1521	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-0.12}$
All cuts (χ^2)	1389	$91.32^{+0.72}_{-0.75}$
All track cuts	1394	$91.65^{+0.68}_{-0.78}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	1440	$94.67^{+0.59}_{-0.68}$

P16

Cut	Count	Efficiency (%)
No cut	813	
E_{EM} cut	780	$95.94^{+0.69}_{-0.77}$
E_{HAD} cut	793	$97.54^{+0.56}_{-0.71}$
COT hits cut	813	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-0.23}$
d_0 cut	809	$99.51^{+0.22}_{-0.40}$
Isolation cut	786	$96.68^{+0.64}_{-0.73}$
Δx_{CMX} cut	811	$99.75^{+0.16}_{-0.33}$
All above cuts	734	$90.28^{+1.07}_{-1.17}$
All cuts excl. isol.	757	$93.11^{+0.88}_{-0.97}$
Sliding isol. cut	794	$97.66^{+0.53}_{-0.64}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	740	$91.02^{+1.02}_{-1.13}$
χ^2 cut	813	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-0.23}$
All cuts (χ^2)	734	$90.28^{+1.07}_{-1.17}$
All track cuts	736	$90.53^{+1.02}_{-1.12}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	759	$93.36^{+0.83}_{-1.02}$

TABLE II: Dataset bhmumkP16: Muon ID efficiencies for CMX

CMX ID SF

P15

Cut	Scale Factor
No cut	
E_{EM} cut	$1.0046^{+0.0050}_{-0.0057}$
E_{HAD} cut	$1.0032^{+0.0036}_{-0.0044}$
COT hits cut	$0.9994^{+0.0006}_{-0.0015}$
d_0 cut	$0.9985^{+0.0016}_{-0.0023}$
Isolation cut	$0.9973^{+0.0050}_{-0.0057}$
Δx_{CMX} cut	$0.9983^{+0.0015}_{-0.0022}$
All above cuts	$1.0016^{+0.0080}_{-0.0082}$
All cuts excl. isol.	$1.0055^{+0.0067}_{-0.0069}$
Sliding isol. cut	$0.9981^{+0.0042}_{-0.0049}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	$1.0033^{+0.0071}_{-0.0079}$
χ^2 cut	$1.0000^{+0.0000}_{-0.0012}$
All cuts (χ^2)	$1.0016^{+0.0080}_{-0.0082}$
All track cuts	$1.0037^{+0.0075}_{-0.0086}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	$1.0074^{+0.0063}_{-0.0073}$

P16

Cut	Scale Factor
No cut	
E_{EM} cut	$0.9966^{+0.0072}_{-0.0081}$
E_{HAD} cut	$0.9969^{+0.0057}_{-0.0073}$
COT hits cut	$1.0001^{+0.0000}_{-0.0023}$
d_0 cut	$0.9975^{+0.0022}_{-0.0040}$
Isolation cut	$0.9969^{+0.0066}_{-0.0076}$
Δx_{CMX} cut	$0.9991^{+0.0016}_{-0.0033}$
All above cuts	$0.9903^{+0.0118}_{-0.0129}$
All cuts excl. isol.	$0.9924^{+0.0094}_{-0.0104}$
Sliding isol. cut	$0.9991^{+0.0054}_{-0.0066}$
All cuts (sliding isol.)	$0.9907^{+0.0111}_{-0.0123}$
χ^2 cut	$1.0000^{+0.0000}_{-0.0023}$
All cuts (χ^2)	$0.9903^{+0.0118}_{-0.0129}$
All track cuts	$0.9914^{+0.0113}_{-0.0124}$
All trk cuts excl. isol.	$0.9934^{+0.0089}_{-0.0109}$

TABLE II: Dataset bhmumkP16_zektimP14: Muon ID Scale Factors for CMX

Reconstruction Efficiency

The reconstruction efficiency is defined as the probability to find a muon stub and link it to a track. First, to know whether we should find a stub or not we have to know that the muon is fiducial in any of the muon chambers. We use the **MuonFiducialTool** class for this purpose. We define a track as being fiducial in a given muon system if it passes the cuts defined in Tables 2 and 3 for that system.

Events Selection
No cosmic tag and 2 tracks passing the following:

- Oppositely charged.
- $|z_0^{(1)} - z_0^{(2)}| < 4$ cm.
- $81\ \mathrm{GeV}/c^2 < m(\mu^+\mu^-) < 101\ \mathrm{GeV}/c^2$
- The first leg must be a reconstructed **CdfMuon** passing all the ID and fiducial cuts given in Tables 1 and 2. It must also match to the level 1 trigger information.
- The second leg must be fiducial in both the CMU and CMP sub-detectors (or the CMX sub-detector) and satisfy:
  - $P_T > 20$ GeV.
  - $E_{EM} < 1.5 \cdot (2+\max(0, (p-100) \cdot 0.0115))$ GeV
  - $E_{HAD} < 1.5 \cdot (6+\max(0, (p-100) \cdot 0.028)$ GeV

$$\varepsilon_{Reco} = \frac{\#\text{tracks fiducial and linked to a stub}}{\#\text{ tracks fiducial}}$$

Reconstruction Efficiency

The first leg must pass all the ID and Fid. cuts and must match to the level 1 trigger information. If the second leg is Fiducial, and pass "P_T, E_{EM}, E_{HAD}" cuts, we examine this track to see if is linked to a muon stub or not.

P12

Category	Fiducial	w/ Stub	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	2534	2311	$91.20^{+0.55}_{-0.62}$
CMX	1524	1455	$95.47^{+0.57}_{-0.60}$
CMX Arches	1165	1144	$98.20^{+0.41}_{-0.47}$
CMX Miniskirt	283	238	$84.10^{+2.13}_{-2.56}$
CMX Keystone	76	73	$96.05^{+2.19}_{-3.67}$
CMU-only	651	567	$87.10^{+1.28}_{-1.45}$
CMP-only	606	558	$92.08^{+1.18}_{-1.16}$
CMX MiniKey	359	311	$86.63^{+1.75}_{-1.96}$

P14

Category	Fiducial	w/ Stub	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	815	732	$89.82^{+1.05}_{-1.19}$
CMX	487	471	$96.71^{+0.80}_{-1.06}$
CMX Arches	373	367	$98.39^{+0.68}_{-0.98}$
CMX Miniskirt	91	82	$90.11^{+3.05}_{-4.37}$
CMX Keystone	23	22	$95.65^{+3.96}_{-9.13}$
CMU-only	200	179	$89.50^{+2.10}_{-2.68}$
CMP-only	216	199	$92.13^{+1.91}_{-2.19}$
CMX MiniKey	114	104	$91.23^{+2.72}_{-3.53}$

P15

Category	Fiducial	w/ Stub	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	2534	2261	$89.23^{+0.67}_{-0.60}$
CMX	1540	1466	$95.19^{+0.56}_{-0.61}$
CMX Arches	1198	1160	$96.83^{+0.49}_{-0.61}$
CMX Miniskirt	261	230	$88.12^{+2.01}_{-2.28}$
CMX Keystone	81	76	$93.83^{+2.46}_{-3.79}$
CMU-only	658	580	$88.15^{+1.21}_{-1.33}$
CMP-only	689	612	$88.82^{+1.12}_{-1.42}$
CMX MiniKey	342	306	$89.47^{+1.64}_{-1.88}$

P16

Category	Fiducial	w/ Stub	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	1513	1351	$89.29^{+0.80}_{-0.87}$
CMX	831	789	$94.95^{+0.81}_{-0.85}$
CMX Arches	655	633	$96.64^{+0.67}_{-0.89}$
CMX Miniskirt	149	130	$87.25^{+2.79}_{-3.46}$
CMX Keystone	27	26	$96.30^{+3.12}_{-7.82}$
CMU-only	361	330	$91.41^{+1.46}_{-1.67}$
CMP-only	428	373	$87.15^{+1.62}_{-1.90}$
CMX MiniKey	176	156	$88.64^{+2.57}_{-2.89}$

Reconstruction SF

P11

Category	Scale Factor
CMUP	$0.9276^{+0.0048}_{-0.0053}$
CMX	$0.9557^{+0.0043}_{-0.0050}$
CMX Arches	$0.9840^{+0.0032}_{-0.0037}$
CMX Miniskirt	$0.8277^{+0.0192}_{-0.0203}$
CMX Keystone	$0.9736^{+0.0193}_{-0.0351}$
CMU-only	$0.8991^{+0.0107}_{-0.0114}$
CMP-only	$0.9224^{+0.0085}_{-0.0095}$
CMX MiniKey	$0.8515^{+0.0173}_{-0.0173}$

P12

Category	Scale Factor
CMUP	$0.9345^{+0.0056}_{-0.0064}$
CMX	$0.9584^{+0.0058}_{-0.0060}$
CMX Arches	$0.9840^{+0.0041}_{-0.0047}$
CMX Miniskirt	$0.8490^{+0.0215}_{-0.0258}$
CMX Keystone	$0.9706^{+0.0222}_{-0.0372}$
CMU-only	$0.8921^{+0.0132}_{-0.0149}$
CMP-only	$0.9372^{+0.0121}_{-0.0118}$
CMX MiniKey	$0.8747^{+0.0177}_{-0.0177}$

P13

Category	Scale Factor
CMUP	$0.9371^{+0.0044}_{-0.0047}$
CMX	$0.9577^{+0.0040}_{-0.0043}$
CMX Arches	$0.9785^{+0.0034}_{-0.0035}$
CMX Miniskirt	$0.8567^{+0.0175}_{-0.0200}$
CMX Keystone	$0.9499^{+0.0245}_{-0.0350}$
CMU-only	$0.9100^{+0.0099}_{-0.0102}$
CMP-only	$0.9379^{+0.0072}_{-0.0087}$
CMX MiniKey	$0.8733^{+0.0151}_{-0.0151}$

P14

Category	Scale Factor
CMUP	$0.9218^{+0.0108}_{-0.0123}$
CMX	$0.9706^{+0.0080}_{-0.0106}$
CMX Arches	$0.9856^{+0.0068}_{-0.0098}$
CMX Miniskirt	$0.9093^{+0.0308}_{-0.0441}$
CMX Keystone	$0.9678^{+0.0401}_{-0.0924}$
CMU-only	$0.9169^{+0.0216}_{-0.0275}$
CMP-only	$0.9371^{+0.0195}_{-0.0223}$
CMX MiniKey	$0.9211^{+0.0274}_{-0.0274}$

P15

Category	Scale Factor
CMUP	$0.9160^{+0.0068}_{-0.0062}$
CMX	$0.9557^{+0.0056}_{-0.0062}$
CMX Arches	$0.9702^{+0.0049}_{-0.0061}$
CMX Miniskirt	$0.8901^{+0.0204}_{-0.0231}$
CMX Keystone	$0.9499^{+0.0250}_{-0.0384}$
CMU-only	$0.9035^{+0.0124}_{-0.0136}$
CMP-only	$0.9041^{+0.0114}_{-0.0145}$
CMX MiniKey	$0.9041^{+0.0166}_{-0.0166}$

P16

Category	Scale Factor
CMUP	$0.9167^{+0.0082}_{-0.0089}$
CMX	$0.9532^{+0.0081}_{-0.0086}$
CMX Arches	$0.9683^{+0.0067}_{-0.0089}$
CMX Miniskirt	$0.8812^{+0.0282}_{-0.0349}$
CMX Keystone	$0.9749^{+0.0316}_{-0.0792}$
CMU-only	$0.9370^{+0.0150}_{-0.0171}$
CMP-only	$0.8871^{+0.0165}_{-0.0193}$
CMX MiniKey	$0.8956^{+0.0260}_{-0.0260}$

Reco and ID Plots









Reco and ID Plots









Reco and ID Plots









Trigger Efficiencies

Use the two legs from the reconstructed Z (both legs pass muon ID and fiducial cuts)

Level 1:

ε^{L1}_{CMUP} is calculated from 2 independent samples, using CMUP-CMUP and CMUP-CMX samples:

$$\mathring{a}^{L1(A)}_{CMUP} = \frac{\#\text{ of events with both legs triggered}}{\#\text{ of events with 1 CMX leg triggered}}$$

$$\mathring{a}^{L1(B)}_{CMUP} = \frac{2R}{1+R}$$

$$R = \frac{\#\text{ of events with both legs triggered}}{\#\text{ of events with} \geq 1\text{ CMUP leg triggered}}$$

The final efficiency is an average of $\varepsilon^{L1(A)}_{CMUP}$ and $\varepsilon^{L1(B)}_{CMUP}$ weighted by the errors.

Level 2: (both leg pass L1)

$$\mathring{a}^{L2}_{CMUP} = \frac{\#\text{ of events pass both L2 triggers}}{\#\text{ of events pass L2 CMX trigger}}$$

Level 3: (both leg pass L2)

$$\mathring{a}^{L3}_{CMUP} = \frac{\#\text{ of events pass both L3 triggers}}{\#\text{ of events pass L3 CMX trigger}}$$

CMX trigger efficiency is calculated in similar way.

Efficiencies not corrected for livetimes

P15

1st leg	2nd leg	1st passes	both pass	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	CMUP	763	660	-
CMX	CMUP	928	874	$93.44^{+0.60}_{-0.54}$
CMUP	CMX	966	874	-
CMX	CMX	349	292	$90.71^{+0.85}_{-0.75}$
CMUP	ARCH	751	716	-
CMUP	MK	215	158	-
ARCH	ARCH	243	227	-
ARCH	MK	81	48	-
MK	ARCH	51	48	$95.83^{+0.67}_{-0.58}$
MK	MK	22	17	$81.30^{+2.41}_{-2.22}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	874	-
CMUP	805	$98.45^{+0.45}_{-0.57}$
CMX	774	$94.66^{+0.80}_{-0.96}$
CMUP-CMX	762	-
CMUP-A	656	-
CMUP-MK	149	-
CMUP-CMX-A	618	$94.21^{+0.96}_{-1.09}$
CMUP-CMX-MK	144	$96.64^{+1.50}_{-2.21}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	874	-
CMUP-CMUP$_S$	769	-
CMX-CMX$_S$	752	-
CMUP-CMX-CMUP$_S$	731	$94.44^{+0.82}_{-0.94}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$	740	$91.93^{+0.99}_{-1.06}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-A	601	$91.62^{+1.06}_{-1.28}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-MK	139	$93.29^{+2.22}_{-2.76}$

P16

1st leg	2nd leg	1st passes	both pass	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	CMUP	489	433	-
CMX	CMUP	477	446	$93.81^{+0.74}_{-0.65}$
CMUP	CMX	498	446	-
CMX	CMX	198	157	$89.15^{+1.25}_{-1.09}$
CMUP	ARCH	395	377	-
CMUP	MK	103	69	-
ARCH	ARCH	138	126	-
ARCH	MK	50	26	-
MK	ARCH	26	26	$95.63^{+1.01}_{-0.79}$
MK	MK	10	5	$77.28^{+3.67}_{-3.59}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	446	-
CMUP	394	$98.33^{+0.64}_{-1.02}$
CMX	360	$89.85^{+1.46}_{-1.66}$
CMUP-CMX	354	-
CMUP-A	332	-
CMUP-MK	62	-
CMUP-CMX-A	296	$89.16^{+1.76}_{-1.95}$
CMUP-CMX-MK	58	$93.55^{+3.13}_{-4.68}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	446	-
CMUP-CMUP$_S$	380	-
CMX-CMX$_S$	350	-
CMUP-CMX-CMUP$_S$	345	$95.83^{+1.14}_{-1.40}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$	344	$87.31^{+1.66}_{-1.86}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-A	287	$86.45^{+1.93}_{-2.17}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-MK	57	$91.94^{+3.57}_{-5.02}$

Efficiencies not corrected for livetimes

runNumber < 257202

1st leg	2nd leg	1st passes	both pass	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	CMUP	126	103	-
CMX	CMUP	125	122	$94.35^{+1.66}_{-1.20}$
CMUP	CMX	136	122	-
CMX	CMX	58	45	$88.87^{+2.55}_{-2.14}$
CMUP	ARCH	112	104	-
CMUP	MK	24	18	-
ARCH	ARCH	38	34	-
ARCH	MK	18	10	-
MK	ARCH	10	10	$93.77^{+2.48}_{-1.63}$
MK	MK	2	1	$85.02^{+7.07}_{-5.28}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	122	-
CMUP	102	$98.90^{+1.00}_{-2.51}$
CMX	91	$88.24^{+3.37}_{-4.06}$
CMUP-CMX	90	-
CMUP-A	87	-
CMUP-MK	15	-
CMUP-CMX-A	78	$89.66^{+3.12}_{-4.30}$
CMUP-CMX-MK	12	$80.00^{+11.41}_{-15.55}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	122	-
CMUP-CMUP$_S$	99	-
CMX-CMX$_S$	87	-
CMUP-CMX-CMUP$_S$	87	$95.60^{+2.25}_{-3.22}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$	86	$84.31^{+3.77}_{-4.43}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-A	74	$85.06^{+3.81}_{-4.78}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-MK	12	$80.00^{+11.41}_{-15.55}$

runNumber > 257202

1st leg	2nd leg	1st passes	both pass	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	CMUP	363	330	-
CMX	CMUP	352	324	$94.48^{+0.84}_{-0.74}$
CMUP	CMX	362	324	-
CMX	CMX	140	112	$89.28^{+1.51}_{-1.28}$
CMUP	ARCH	283	273	-
CMUP	MK	79	51	-
ARCH	ARCH	100	92	-
ARCH	MK	32	16	-
MK	ARCH	16	16	$96.36^{+1.15}_{-0.88}$
MK	MK	8	4	$74.36^{+4.53}_{-4.23}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	324	-
CMUP	292	$98.14^{+0.83}_{-1.22}$
CMX	269	$90.41^{+1.68}_{-2.03}$
CMUP-CMX	264	-
CMUP-A	245	-
CMUP-MK	47	-
CMUP-CMX-A	218	$88.98^{+1.94}_{-2.36}$
CMUP-CMX-MK	46	$97.87^{+1.93}_{-4.71}$

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L1	324	-
CMUP-CMUP$_S$	281	-
CMX-CMX$_S$	263	-
CMUP-CMX-CMUP$_S$	258	$95.91^{+1.26}_{-1.67}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$	258	$88.36^{+1.98}_{-2.13}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-A	213	$86.94^{+2.22}_{-2.47}$
CMUP-CMX-CMX$_S$-MK	45	$95.74^{+2.89}_{-5.31}$

Trigger Eff

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L2	762	-
CMUP	759	$99.61^{+0.21}_{-0.37}$
CMX	761	$99.87^{+0.12}_{-0.30}$
CMUP-CMX	758	-
CMUPA	615	-
CMUPMK	144	-
CMUPARCH	614	$99.84^{+0.13}_{-0.36}$
CMUP-MK	144	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-1.27}$

Category	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	$91.63^{+0.75}_{-0.83}$
CMX	$85.76^{+1.08}_{-1.15}$
CMX A	$90.13^{+1.12}_{-1.22}$
CMX MK	$78.58^{+2.63}_{-2.97}$
CMUP SLAM	$87.90^{+0.97}_{-1.06}$
CMX SLAM	$83.28^{+1.19}_{-1.21}$
CMX SLAM A	$87.65^{+1.19}_{-1.37}$
CMX SLAM MK	$75.85^{+2.88}_{-3.21}$

P15

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L2	354	-
CMUP	352	$99.43^{+0.35}_{-0.77}$
CMX	350	$98.86^{+0.50}_{-0.87}$
CMUP-CMX	348	-
CMUPA	294	-
CMUPMK	58	-
CMUPARCH	291	$98.98^{+0.58}_{-0.98}$
CMUP-MK	57	$98.28^{+1.43}_{-3.84}$

Category	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	$91.72^{+0.99}_{-1.35}$
CMX	$79.19^{+1.75}_{-1.89}$
CMX A	$84.39^{+1.96}_{-2.14}$
CMX MK	$71.05^{+4.25}_{-5.59}$
CMUP SLAM	$89.39^{+1.31}_{-1.60}$
CMX SLAM	$76.95^{+1.86}_{-2.01}$
CMX SLAM A	$81.83^{+2.08}_{-2.31}$
CMX SLAM MK	$69.82^{+4.40}_{-5.70}$

P16

Trigger Eff

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L2	90	-
CMUP	90	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-2.03}$
CMX	90	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-2.03}$
CMUP-CMX	90	-
CMUPA	78	-
CMUPMK	12	-
CMUPARCH	78	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-2.33}$
CMUP-MK	12	$100.00^{+0.00}_{-14.23}$

Category	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	$93.31^{+1.89}_{-3.26}$
CMX	$78.41^{+3.74}_{-4.37}$
CMX A	$84.07^{+3.67}_{-4.72}$
CMX MK	$68.02^{+11.22}_{-16.92}$
CMUP SLAM	$90.20^{+2.65}_{-3.73}$
CMX SLAM	$74.93^{+3.98}_{-4.59}$
CMX SLAM A	$79.76^{+4.15}_{-5.05}$
CMX SLAM MK	$68.02^{+11.22}_{-16.92}$

runNumber < 257202

Category	Events Passing	Efficiency (%)
Pass L2	264	-
CMUP	262	$99.23^{+0.53}_{-1.04}$
CMX	260	$98.47^{+0.70}_{-1.16}$
CMUP-CMX	258	-
CMUPA	216	-
CMUPMK	46	-
CMUPARCH	213	$98.61^{+0.75}_{-1.30}$
CMUP-MK	45	$97.83^{+1.98}_{-4.66}$

Category	Efficiency (%)
CMUP	$92.01^{+1.23}_{-1.66}$
CMX	$79.48^{+2.08}_{-2.32}$
CMX A	$84.55^{+2.20}_{-2.62}$
CMX MK	$71.20^{+4.78}_{-6.30}$
CMUP SLAM	$89.92^{+1.50}_{-1.96}$
CMX SLAM	$77.68^{+2.25}_{-2.36}$
CMX SLAM A	$82.61^{+2.41}_{-2.69}$
CMX SLAM MK	$69.65^{+4.94}_{-6.46}$

runNumber > 257202

Comparison with previous periods

CMUP

Combined (L1+L2+L3) CMUP 2-D Trigger efficiencies

Period	Measured (SR)	Livetime Corr.	Corr. Efficiency
8	0.9130(67)	1.0	0.9130(67)
9	0.9270(67)	1.0	0.9270(67)
10	0.9196(56)	1.0	0.9196(56)
11	0.9198(61)	1.0	0.9198(61)
12	0.9241(78)	1.0	0.9241(78)
13	0.9090(61)	1.0	0.9090(61)
14	0.9197(2.22)	1.0	0.9197 (2.22)
15	0.9163(77)	1.0	0.9163 (77)
16	0.9172(116)	1.0	0.9172(116)

Combined (L1+L2+L3) CMUP 3-D Trigger efficiencies

Period	2-D Efficiency	2-D+3-D/2-D (SR)	3-D Efficiency
10	0.9196(56)	0.9265(78)	0.8520(89)
11	0.9198(61)	0.9375(88)	0.8623(98)
12	0.9241(78)	0.9108(105)	0.8417(120)
13	0.9090(61)		0.8284 (91)
14	0.9197(2.22)		0.8943 (2.22)
15	0.9163(77)		0.8790 (100)
16	0.9172(116)		0.8939(137)

Comparison with previous periods

Combined (L1+L2+L3) CMX 2-D Trigger efficiencies

Arches-only:

Period	Measured (SR)	Livetime Corr.	Corr. Efficiency
12	0.8923 (118)	0.945	0.944 (125)
13	0.8915 (85)	0.9601	0.9285 (85)
14	0.9123 (239)	0.99	0.9215 (239)
15	0.9013 (117)	0.95	0.9487 (117)
16	0.8439 (204)	0.915	0.9223 (223)

CMX

Combined (L1+L2+L3) CMX 3-D Trigger efficiencies

Arches-only

Period	2-D Efficiency	3-D Efficiency
12	0.8923/0.945= 0.94(125)	0.9002 (140)
13	0.8915/0.9601=0.93 (85)	0.8569 / 0.9601 = 0.8926 (9)
14	0.9123/0.99= 0.9215 (2.39)	0.8815/0.99 = 0.8904 (2.7)
15	0.9013/0.95= 0.9487 (117)	0.8765/0.95 = 0.9226 (128)
16	0.8439/0.915= 0.9223 (223)	0.8183/0.915 = 0.8943 (235)

Conclusions

- P16 high PT electrons and muons analyzed:
  - Electron ID ok with new GRL
    - PEM drop not a concern to the experts
  - Muon ID and Reco ok
  - Muon Trigger ok